

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/511,650	02/22/2000	Frank S. Yuan	248/006	2218
34026	7590 04/09/2003			
JONES, DAY, REAVIS & POGUE			EXAMINER	
555 WEST FI SUITE 4600	FTH STREET		SNAPP, SANDRA S	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_	\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	09/511,650	YUAN, FRANK S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
** **** *** **** **** ****	Sandra Snapp	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{17 J}$	anuary 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-17,19-24 and 26-39</u> is/ar	e pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13,15-17,19-24 and 26-39</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the prior applicati	ity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-6, 8-13, 16-17, 18-24 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the Wireless Commerce Ltd international application (WO 00/22907 – hereinafter "WCL").

The WCL reference discloses a method for an auction providing at least one seller that posts goods or services, providing one or more buyers to bid on the goods, providing an auction manager to conduct the auction, designating a winning buyer, and providing a guarantee via a factoring agreement by a financial institution (p. 1, lines 32-37 and p. 8, lines 16-26); the financial institution is a factoring entity, a bank or a credit assurance company (p. 3, lines 1-6); the guarantee is a single transaction factoring agreement, a no-loan factoring agreement or a loan

Art Unit: 3624

factoring agreement (p. 3, lines 1-6, use of a credit or debit account); the goods are posted and the auction is conducted online at a web site (p. 3, lines 11-19); the seller applies online for the guarantee prior to posting the goods or services (p. 4, lines 6-14); the buyers apply online for a credit check prior to bidding on the goods or services (p. 4, lines 6-14); a portion of the sales price is paid to the auction manager or financial institution (p. 1, lines 33-37); the financial institution receives commissions (present application, background of the invention section, p. 5, lines 10-16); the time period is 30 days (the Examiner takes official notice that a specific time period can be set in an auction).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 14, 15, 25, 31-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the Aggarwal et al. patent (US 6,151,589).

The WCL reference discloses all the elements of the claimed invention, as stated above, except for having multiple auctions running simultaneously. The Aggarwal et al. patent discloses an method for performing multiple auctions online simultaneously (col. 2, lines 41-53). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the WCL system to include the multiple auctions as taught by the Aggarwal

Art Unit: 3624

. . . .

reference so as to maximize the amount of goods and/or services to be auctioned in the least amount of time as well as to provide a forum for selling large scale commodities.

Response to Amendment

The amendment to the specification filed in paper no. 5, on 1-17-03 has clarified the record and therefor the objection is withdrawn.

Applicant's amended claim language, see Amendment A, paper no. 5, filed 1-17-03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 7-10, 14-17, 19 and 25-28 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been fully considered and overcomes the rejection of record. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 based on 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been considered, however, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the WCL reference. (See above rejections).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Snapp whose telephone number is 703-305-6940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3624

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

ss 4

April 4, 2003

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER